2008 年 5 月

Notes on Elaeocarpus (Elaeocarpaceae) from China

TANG Ya

(Laboratory for Biodiversity and Environmental Studies, Department of Environmental Sciences, Sichuan University, Chengdu 610065, China)

Abstract: Having different characteristics of branchlets, leaves and perianth, Elaeocarpus hayatae is treated as a distinct species rather a variety of E. sphaericus. E. atro-punctatus is reported from Guangxi for the first time.

Key words: Elaeocarpus; Taiwan; Guangxi

CLC Number: Q949.757.1

Document Code: A

Article ID: 1000-3142(2008)03-0288-02

Elaeocarpus hayatae Kanehira & Sasaki, Trans. Nat. Hist. Soc. Formosan. 24:398, t. 2. 1934; Kanehira, Formosan Trees, rev. ed. 431, t. 388. 1936; Liu, Sasaki & Keng, Quart. J. Taiwan Mus. 8(4):306. 1955; H. L. Li, Woody Fl. Taiwan 533. 1963; C. E. Chang, Quart. J. Taiwan Forest. 3(2):22. 1967.

Elaeocarpus sphaericus (Gaertner) Schumman var. hayatae (Kanehira & Sasaki) C. E. Chang in H. L. Li et al. (eds.), Fl. Taiwan 3:686, pl. 776. 1979 and in T. C. Huang (ed), Fl. Taiwan, ed. 2,3:718. pl. 366. 1993, syn. nov.

Elaeocarpus sylvestris (Lour.) Poiret var. hayatae (Kanehira & Sasaki) Y. C. Liu, Lign. Pl. Taiwan 376. 1972.

This species was originally described as having a 3-loculed ovary. In Kanehira's "Formosan Trees Revised Edition", the cross section of the ovary is illustrated clearly as 3-loculed but the cross section of fruit is 5-loculed with 4 locules degenerating (Kanehira, 1936, fig. 388: G & I). Examination of type materials by C. E. Chang revealed that the ovary and fruit are 4-or 5-loculed (Chang, 1977, Pl. 766:1,4). In the infrageneric system of Elaeocarpus (Tang, 1992), species with 5-loculed ovaries and fruit belong to Section Ganitrus but species with 2-or 3-loculed ovaries and 1-loculed fruit belong to Section Elaeocarpus. Since its ovary is 4-or 5-loculed, E. hayatae is certainly not a member of the Section Elaeocarpus but rather a member of the Sec-

tion Ganitrus.

Since its leaves look similar to *E. decipiens* and *E. sylvestris*, *E. hayatae* sometimes has been treated as a synonym of these; however, as noted above *E. hayatae* is in a separate section.

Only two species of the section Ganitrus are recognized in China, of which E. angustifolius Blame is found in southern Yunnan. Elaeocapus hayatae Kanehira & Sasaki differs from E. angustifolius Blume in a number of characteristics including glabrous (vs. pubescent) branchlets, petioles, and abaxial leaf surfaces, smaller leaves (7-13 X 2.5-3.5 vs. 13-17 X 4-6 cm), fewer lateral veins (6-8 vs. 10-13), veins and veinlets distinctly (vs. poorly) anastomosing, sepals glabrous (vs. puberulent) adaxially, petal segments 13-15 (vs. 15-25), and 4-or 5-loculed (vs. 5-loculed) ovary and fruit. In view of these differences, we prefer to treat it as a distinct species rather than a variety. In addition, up to date records of geographical distribution indicated that they are allopatric. This species is endemic to Taiwan.

The discovery of both 4-loculed and 5-loculed ovaries and fruit might be important in the study of evolution of this genus. *E. hayatae* is distributed in the periphery of the section and the reduction in locules of ovary and fruit might be derived.

Elaeocarpus atropunctatus H. T. Chang, Acta Phytotax. Sin. 17:52. 1979.

This species was described from Luoding, Guang-

Received date: 2007-02-08 Accepted date: 2007-06-16

基金项目: 国家自然科学基金(39899400)[Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(39899400)]

作者简介: 唐亚(1963一),男,贵州盘县人,教授,博士,主要从事植物学和生态学方面的研究。

dong Province, with two collections only. Since then no other collections have been reported. In the course of this study, the author came to see two collections from Guangxi, which are deposited at the herbarium, Guangxi Academy of Forest Sciences (GXFI). This species is new to Guangxi. Guangxi. Du'an County, Liang Shengye 2000003 (GXFI); Pingxiang Municipality, Daqingshan, Integrated Survey Team, 0691 (GXFI).

Acknowledgements. This work is supported by a grant of the National Science Foundation of China (NSFC39899400). Assistance of Prof. Liang Shengye in accessing herbarium specimens at Guangxi Academy

of Forest Sciences is duly acknowledged.

References:

- Chang CE. 1977. Elaeocarpus[M]//Li HL(eds). Taipei:Flora of Taiwan, 3:686
- Chang CE. 1993. Elaeocarpaceae[M]//Huang TC(ed). Taipei: Flora of Taiwan. 3:714-721
- Kanehira R. 1936. Formosan trees revised edition[M]. Taihoko, Formosa: Department of Forestry, Government Research Institute, 431-434 (in Japanese)
- Li HL. 1963. Woody flora of Taiwan [M]. The Morris Arboretum, Philadelphia, PA. and the Livingston Publishing Co., Narberth, PA
- Tang Y. 1992. Notes on the genus Elaeocarpus from China[J]. Acta Phytotaxon Sin, 30:385-404

中国杜英属小记

唐亚

(四川大学 环境科学系 生物多样性与环境研究实验室,成都 610065)

摘 要:基于4~5室的子房和果实,以及不同的嫩枝、叶、花被等特征,光叶球果杜英是一个明显区别于园果杜

英的种。报道了黑腺杜英在广西的首次记录。

关键词: 杜英属; 台湾; 广西

(上接第 319 页 Continue from page 319)

参考文献:

刘玉壶. 1996. 木兰科[M]//中国植物志. 北京:科学出版社: 141-143

Agababian V S h. 1972. Pollen morphology of the family Magnoliaceae[J]. *Grana*, 12:166-176

Canright J E. 1953. The comparative morphology and relationships of the Magnoliaceae. []. Significance of the pollen [J]. *Phytomorphology*, 3:355-365

Dandy J E. 1964. Magnoliaceae[M]//Hutchinson(ed). The Genera of Flowering Plants, Vol. I. Oxford; University Press

Law YW(刘玉壶). 1984. A preliminary study on the taxonomy of the family Magnoliaceae (木兰科分类系统的初步研究)[J]. Acta Phytotax sin(植物分类学报),22(2):89-109

Nooteboom H P. 1985, Notes on Magnoliaceae[J], Blumea, 31: 65-121

Nooteboom H P. 2000. Different looks at the classification of the Magnoliaceae[C]//Liu YH, Fan HM, Chen ZY(eds). Proceedings of the International Symposium on the Family Magnoliaceae. Beijing: Science Press: 26-37

Praglowski J. 1974. Magnoliaceae Juss., World Pollen and Spore Flora[M]. Stochhokm, Almqvist & Wiksell, 3:1-44

Walker J W. 1976a. Evolutionary significance of the exine in the pollen of primitive angiosperms[C]//Ferguson IK, Muller J(eds). The Evolutionary Significance of the Exine. Linnean Society Symposium Series No. 1. London; Academic Press; 251—308

Walker J W. 1976b. Comparative pollen morphology and phylogeny of the Ranalean complex[M]//Beck C B(ed). Origin and Early Evolution of Angiosperms. NewYork: Columbia Univ Press:241-299

Xu FX(徐凤霞). 1998. Study on pollen morphology of *Kmeria*(单性木 兰属花粉形态观察)[J]. Guihaia(广西植物),18(1),29-31

Xu FX(徐凤霞). 1999. Pollen morphology of several species from Michelia (Magnoliaceae) (几种含笑属(木兰科)植物的花粉形态)[J]. J Wuhan Bot Res(武汉植物学研究),17(4):352-356

Xu FX(徐凤霞). 2002. Study on pollen morphology of *Parakmeria lotungensis* (乐东拟单性木兰花粉形态观察)[J]. Guihaia (广西植物),22(2):157-159

Xu FX(徐凤霞), Wu QG(吴七根). 1995. Pollen morphology of Paramichelia and Tsoongiodendron from China(合果木属与观光木属的花粉形态)[J]. Acta Bot Boreal-Occident Sin(西北植物学报),15(6);47-49

Xu FX(徐凤霞),Xu XL(徐信兰),Hu XY(胡晓颖). 2004a. Pollen morphology of five species from Magnolia(五种木兰属植物的花粉形态)[J]. Acta Bot Yunnan(云南植物研究),26(1):83-88

Xu FX(徐凤霞), Hu XY(胡晓颖), Xu XL(徐信兰). 2004b. Pollen morphology of five species from Manglietia (Magnoliaceae)(木莲属(木兰科)五种植物的花粉形态)[J]. J Trop Subtrop Bot(热带亚热带植物学报), 12(4), 313-317